From:
 Robert London

 To:
 Evan Maxim

Peter Anderson; Gordon Ahalt; Rick Duchaine; yduchaine@comcast.net; Rob Graham; Robin Samms; Dave Anderson; Alisa London

Subject: Re: City of Mercer Island public records request 18-446; RUE CAO15-001 Bill Summers

Date: Sunday, June 24, 2018 5:31:20 PM

Dear Mr. Maxim,

I have read in detail the report from Mr. Sewell of 3/8/2018 purporting that the mitigation of stormwater drainage as satisfying the Army Corps of Engineers, including offsite "mitigation" will "fully mitigate the proposed impacts on the site." In the report, it clearly states that there will be 6041 Sq Ft of impervious surface added to the property. The drainage running into a stormwater vault is the routed directly into the watercourse of our property. This is the exact watercourse into which the City of Mercer Island is expressly prohibited to add water volumes to, as per their settlement of the lawsuit of 1984 Sullivan v City of Mercer Island. There is no proposal that reduces the impact downstream as it directly affects our property, the properties of the Samms and the Grahams, and the fishery zones of Lake Washington. Purchasing credits in some reserves program does NOT mitigate the impact on us, and the City previously agreed to not allow further waters to be routed to this watercourse.

Those of us impacted already by the excessive flows, increased since placement of the bike lane, are indeed impacted by the additional drainage from the impervious area of the proposed building. While it may seem small in their description, our normal rainfall would result in an additional 146.9 thousand gallons routed into the watercourse! (based on 231 cu inches per gallon x 6041 Sq feet x 39 inches of rainfall/year). This is certainly not trivial, nor in the spirit of the settlement.

We implore you to take appropriate action to protect the rights of the people affected by this proposed construction.

Dr. Robert M. London 5632 E Mercer Way Mercer Island, WA 98040 206-232-8955 206-232-8965 fax 206-579-0880 Bob Mobile londonimplant@gmail.com

On Jun 22, 2018, at 8:23 PM, anderson9200@comcast.net wrote:

From: City of Mercer Island Public Records prr@mercergov.org>

Sent: Friday, June 22, 2018 8:54 AM To: anderson9200@comcast.net

Subject: [External Message Added] City of Mercer Island public records request 18-446

-- Write ABOVE THIS LINE to post a message that will be sent to staff. --

City of Mercer Island Public Records

A message was sent to you regarding record request #18-446:

Mr. Anderson,

A first installment of records is ready for you in response to your public records request 18-466. Pursuant to RCW 42.56.520, the City requires an additional twenty (20) business days to respond to your request for emails in order to locate and assemble the information requested, to notify third persons or agencies affected by the request (if necessary), and to determine whether any of the information requested is exempt.

Sincerely, Mary Swan, Public Records Officer/Paralegal 206.275.7651

View Request #18-446

Trouble with the request button? Copy the URL below into your web browser:

http://mercerisland.nextrequest.com/requests/18-446

Questions about your request? Reply to this email or sign in to contact staff at City of Mercer Island

Technical support: See our help page

Powered by NextRequest

From: Robert London

To: Evan Maxim

Cc: Peter Anderson; Dave Anderson; Gordon Ahalt; Rick Duchaine; vduchaine@comcast.net; Rob Graham; Robin

<u>Samms</u>

Subject: Re: Peer review report & withdrawal of determination of significance

Date: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 10:55:01 AM

Dear Mr. Maxim.

I have read the peer review report from Shannon and Wilson where they clearly express that they disagree with their peers in terms of the environmental impact and safety opinions rendered previously. It appears that the City failed to provide documents on drainage nor concerns expressed by myself and my downstream neighbors about the impact of additional water flow into the stream bed to Shannon and Wilson. This is significant, and does represent many subsequent erosion risks, including damage to property, excessive silting into critical Lake Washington habitat areas, and risk of damage directly to downstream homes in excess of what has already occurred to the Grahams. I remind you that in its settlement with our property predecessors, the City agreed to not add to any water flow to this stream bed. Since there is no system provided to prevent this from the loss of percolation and other impacts of the proposed wetlands development, the City would appear to be neglecting their obligation there as well.

I, having served many times in a peer-review role, commend the reviewers, Shannon and Wilson, for their objectivity. To properly do their job, they must receive all of the facts and concerns. Please provide Shannon and Wilson with all materials previously provided in comment periods, etc. relevant to water flow issues and ask for an opinion prior to acting on the significance of this project.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert M. London 5632 E Mercer Way Mercer Island, WA 98040 206-579-0880 Bob Mobile londonimplant@gmail.com

On Aug 19, 2019, at 7:15 PM, anderson9200@comcast.net wrote:

Attached is the Shannon & Wilson report. Dave's comments on drainage were not included in the documents reviewed by them. In the second attached item, the City is proposing withdrawing its prior notice of significance. Peter

From: Evan Maxim < evan.maxim@mercergov.org>

Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 2:33 PM

To: anderson9200@comcast.net
Subject: MI Treehouse - voicemail

Dear Pete Anderson,

In response to your voicemail, please see the attached.

I delayed in responding to your voicemail, anticipating that I would be issuing the SEPA DS Withdrawal letter today.

Regards,

Evan Maxim

Director

City of Mercer Island - Community Planning & Development 206.275.7732

mercergov.org/CPD | <image003.jpg>

If you would like a public record, please fill out a public records request at https://mercerisland.nextrequest.com/.

Notice: Emails and attachments may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Act (chapter 42.56 RCW).

I will be out of the office August 26 through August 30, returning on Tuesday, September 3 (after the Labor Day holiday).

<Mail Attachment.eml><Mail Attachment.eml>